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## TODAY'S TOPICS

- Assignments 1 \& 3 review

Dotplot examples
Pairwise Alignment continues ...

- Semi-global alignment
- Local alignment

Graduate Only Assignment
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Comparative genomics using dot plot
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## Alignment including Terminal (End) Gaps

Is ACACTGATCG optimal alignment?


If Match $=1$, Mismatch $=0$ and Gap penalty $=-1$
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## Alignment including Terminal (End) Gaps

```
ACACTGATCG ACACTGATCG
```


BIOS477/877 L7-11

## Terminal (End) Gaps

## ACACTGATCG ACACTG----

$\rightarrow$ Terminal gaps are required when sequences are incomplete (no biological meaning)
$\rightarrow$ They should not be penalized
$\rightarrow$ Should be treated differently from internal gaps
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Alignment including Terminal (End) Gaps
ACACTGATCG
ACACTG----

|  |  | A | C | A | C | T | G | A | T | C |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $0 \rightarrow-1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow 7 \rightarrow 8 \rightarrow 9 \rightarrow 10$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | -1 | $1 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow-1 \rightarrow-2 \rightarrow-3 \rightarrow-4 \rightarrow-5-6 \rightarrow-7 \rightarrow-8$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C | -2 | ${ }_{i}+2 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow-1 \rightarrow-2 \rightarrow-3 \rightarrow-4 \rightarrow-5 \rightarrow-6$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | -3 | 1 | 0 | $3 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow-1 \rightarrow-2 \rightarrow-3 \rightarrow-4$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C | -4 | $-2$ | 0 | $2{ }_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T | -5 | -3 | -1 | $1-3 \quad 5 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1>0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G | -6 | -4 | -2 | 0 | 2 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

BIOS477/877 L7-10
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## Alignment with Free End Gaps

No gap penalty is used in the edge regions


Match $=1$, Mismatch $=0$,
End gap penalty $=0$
BIOS477/877 L7-15
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## Alignment with Free End Gaps

No gap penalty is used in the edge regions

|  |  | $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{G}$ | $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{G}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{A}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{C}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{A}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{C}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{T}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{G}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Match $=1$, Mismatch $=0$,
End gap penalty $=0$, (Internal) gap penalty $=-1$
BIOS477/877 L7-17

Alignment with Free End Gaps
No gap penalty is used in the edge regions


Match $=1$, Mismatch $=0$,
End gap penalty $=0$, (Internal) gap penalty $=-1$
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## Alignment with Free End Gaps

No gap penalty is used in the edge regions

|  | A | C | A | C | T | G | A | T | C | G |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | $0 \cdot 12$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Match $=1$, Mismatch $=0$,

End gap penalty $=0$, (Internal) gap penalty $=-1$
BIOS477/877 L7-18
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## Alignment with Free End Gaps

Is ACACTGATCG
optimal alignment?

|  |  | $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{G}$ | $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{G}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{A}$ | 0 | $1 \rightarrow 0$ | $1 \rightarrow 0$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{C}$ | 0 | 0 | $2 \rightarrow 1$ | $2 \rightarrow$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| $\mathbf{A}$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | $3 \rightarrow 2$ | $2 \rightarrow$ | 1 | $1 \rightarrow 0$ | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{C}$ | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | $4 \rightarrow 3$ | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| $\mathbf{T}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | $5 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow$ | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |
| $\mathbf{G}$ | 0 | 0 | $\rightarrow$ | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 6 |  |  |

Match $=1$, Mismatch $=0$,
End gap penalty $=0$, (Internal) gap penalty $=-1$
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## Alignment with Free End Gaps

Is ACACTGATCG optimal alignment?


Match $=1$, Mismatch $=0$,
End gap penalty $=0$, (Internal) gap penalty $=-1$
BIOS477/877 L7-23

Alignment with Free End Gaps
No gap penalty is used in the edge regions

|  |  | A | C | A | C | T | G | A | T | C | G |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Match $=1$, Mismatch $=0$,
End gap penalty $=0$, (Internal) gap penalty $=-1$
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Alignment with Free End Gaps
Is ACACTGATCG
optimal alignment?


Match $=1$, Mismatch $=0$,
End gap penalty $=0$, (Internal) gap penalty $=-1$
BIOS477/877 L7-22
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## Alignment with Free End Gaps

ACACTGATCG is the optimal alignment!


Match $=1$, Mismatch $=0$,
End gap penalty $=0$, (Internal) gap penalty $=-1$
BIOS477/877 L7-24
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## Global vs. local alignments

Global alignment


Optimizes the alignment over the full length of sequences With free-end gap Semi-global alignment

Local alignment


Finds the best matching subsequence(s) Useful for finding conserved regions B10S477/877 L7-27
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## Local Alignment

(Smith-Waterman Algorithm)

|  |  | $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{G}$ | $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{G}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{A}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |
| $\mathbf{C}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{A}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{C}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{T}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | Fill with | 's |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{G}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

If Match $=1$, Mismatch $=-1$ and Gap penalty $=-2$

## Dynamic Programming Algorithm

$>$ Global alignment

- Needleman \& Wunsch (1970)
- Optimizes the alignment (maximizes the alignment score) over the full length of the sequences
$>$ Semi-global alignment
- Global alignment with cost-free end gaps
$>$ Local alignment
- Smith \& Waterman (1981)
- Finds the best matching subsequences


## Local Alignment (Smith-Waterman Algorithm)

$>$ Top row and leftmost column $\rightarrow$ fill with 0
$>$ Use negative mismatch score and negative gap penalty
Cell scores cannot be negative
$\rightarrow$ Replace negative scores with 0
Trace-back can be started anywhere
$\rightarrow$ Find the cell(s) with the highest score
Trace-back stops when it reaches 0
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## Local Alignment (Smith-Waterman Algorithm)

|  |  | A | C | A |  | C | T | G | A | T | C | G |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

If Match $=1$, Mismatch $=-1$ and Gap penalty $=-2$
(Smith-Waterman Algorithm)

|  |  | A | C | A | C | T | G | A | T | C | G |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{1} 0$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A | 0 | 1-1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | 0 | Ce | SC | - | an |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

If Match $=1$, Mismatch $=-1$ and Gap penalty $=-2$
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## Local Alignment <br> (Smith-Waterman Algorithm)



If Match $=1$, Mismatch $=-1$ and Gap penalty $=-2$
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## Local Alignment

 (Smith-Waterman Algorithm)

If Match $=1$, Mismatch $=-1$ and Gap penalty $=-2$

## Local Alignment (Smith-Waterman Algorithm)

|  |  | A | C | A | C | T | G | A | T | C | G |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A | 0 |  |  | $1^{-2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C | 0 | The negative score is replaced with 0. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

If Match $=1$, Mismatch $=-1$ and Gap penalty $=-2$
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## Local Alignment (Smith-Waterman Algorithm)



If Match $=1$, Mismatch $=-1$ and Gap penalty $=-2$

## Local Alignment (Smith-Waterman Algorithm)

|  |  | $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{G}$ | $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{G}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{A}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | $1 \rightarrow 0$ | 0 | 0 | $1 \rightarrow$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $\mathbf{C}$ | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | $2 \rightarrow$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{A}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | $3 \rightarrow 1$ | $1 \rightarrow$ | 0 | $1 \rightarrow$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| C | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | $4 \rightarrow 2$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| $\mathbf{T}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | $5 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 1$ | $1 \rightarrow 0$ | 0 |  |  |  |
| G | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | $6 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow$ | 0 | 1 |  |  |

If Match $=1$, Mismatch $=-1$ and Gap penalty $=-2$
BIOS477/877 L7-36

## Local Alignment (Smith-Waterman Algorithm)



If Match $=1$, Mismatch $=-1$ and Gap penalty $=-2$

## Local Alignment

(Smith-Waterman Algorithm)

|  |  | A | C | A | C | T | G | A | T | C | G |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A | 0 | (1) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| C | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | ${ }^{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| A | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| C | 0 | 0 | 2 |  | 4 | $\rightarrow 2$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| T | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{1}$ |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| G | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 |

If Match $=1$, Mismatch $=-1$ and Gap penalty $=-2$
Trace back from here $\qquad$

## Local Alignment <br> (Smith-Waterman Algorithm)

|  |  | $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{G}$ | $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{G}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{A}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| C | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| A | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | $\rightarrow$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| C | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | $4 \rightarrow 2$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| T | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | $3 \rightarrow 1$ | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| G | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | $4 \rightarrow 2$ | 0 | 1 |  |

If Match $=1$, Mismatch $=-1$ and Gap penalty $=-2$
Find the highest score cell(s)
BIOS477/877 L7-38
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## Local Alignment (Smith-Waterman Algorithm)



## Local Alignment <br> (Smith-Waterman Algorithm)

|  |  | M | A | C | D | A | M | Optimal local alignment (score: 8) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| D | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | MACD <br> MACD |  |
| A | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |  | Suboptimal local alignment (score: 6) |  |
| M | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |  |  |  |
| A | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | DAM | MACDA |
| C | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 4 | $\rightarrow 2$ | 2 | DAM | MACD- |
| D | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |  | $\rightarrow 6$ |  |  |  |
| If Match $=2$, Mismatch $=-1$ and Gap penalty $=-2$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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## Graduate Only Assignment

Report 1: March 11

- Short proposal (2-3 pages)
$>$ Report 2: May 14
- Final report (5-7 pages)

Comparative analysis of bioinformatics methods e.g., Multiple alignment methods:

Clustal W vs. MUSCLE vs. MAFFT
$\rightarrow$ Choose appropriate input datasets (3 or more)

## $\rightarrow$ Read the Guidelines!

Undergraduate students can do these assignments for bonus points (both reports need to be submitted)

