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## Phylogenetic methods (Distance)

> Estimation of branch lengths: 3 taxa

$d_{\mathrm{AB}}, \boldsymbol{d}_{\mathrm{AC}}, \boldsymbol{d}_{\mathrm{BC}}$ : distances between sequences $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$, and C $a, b, c:$ branch lengths

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
d_{\mathrm{AB}}=a+b \\
d_{\mathrm{AC}}=a+c \\
d_{\mathrm{BC}}=b+c
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l}
a=\left(d_{\mathrm{AB}}+d_{\mathrm{AC}}-d_{\mathrm{BC}}\right) / 2 \\
b=\left(d_{\mathrm{AB}}+d_{\mathrm{BC}}-d_{\mathrm{AC}}\right) / 2 \\
c=\left(d_{\mathrm{AC}}+d_{\mathrm{BC}}-d_{\mathrm{AB}}\right) / 2
\end{array}\right.
$$

## TODAY'S TOPICS

## Phylogenetic reconstruction

- Distance methods (FM, ME, NJ)
- Character-based methods (maximum parsimony)
$>$ Assignment 10
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## Phylogenetic methods (Distance)

Fitch-Margoliash method (weighted least-square)
(Fitch and Margoliash, 1967)

- Initial tree: constructed by clustering 2 OTUs with shortest distances
$\rightarrow$ similar to UPGMA
- No constant rate assumption (additive trees)
- Reconstructs unrooted trees
- Alternative trees are tested to identify the best tree based on the smallest percent standard deviation (PSD):
$P S D=\sqrt{\frac{2 \sum_{i j}\left\{\left(D_{i j}-E_{i j}\right) / D_{i j}\right\}^{2}}{n(n-1)}} \times 100$
$n$ : number of taxa in the tree
$D_{i j}$ : observed distances between $i$ and $j$
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## Phylogenetic methods (Distance)

$>$ Estimation of branch lengths: more than 3 taxa


\[

\]

(Fitch and Margoliash, 1967)

- Choose two taxa (e.g., with the smallest distance) $\rightarrow A$ and $B$


## Phylogenetic methods (Distance)

Estimation of branch lengths: more than 3 taxa


- Choose two taxa (e.g., with the smallest distance)
- Remaining taxa are combined into a single composite taxon $\rightarrow$ X
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## Phylogenetic methods (Distance)

Estimation of branch lengths: more than 3 taxa
(Fitch and Margoliash, 1967)


$d_{\mathrm{AX}}=\left(d_{\mathrm{AC}}+d_{\mathrm{AD}}\right) / 2$
$d_{\mathrm{BX}}=\left(d_{\mathrm{BC}}+d_{\mathrm{BD}}\right) / 2$

\[

\]

$\rightarrow a$ and $b$ can be calculated as before
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## Phylogenetic methods (Distance)

$>$ Estimation of branch lengths: more than 3 taxa


- The two taxa $A$ and $B$ are combined into a single composite taxon $\rightarrow Y$
- Recalculate the distances between Y and other taxa (as in UPGMA method)

\[

\]

Phylogenetic methods (Distance)
$>$ Estimation of branch lengths: more than 3 taxa
(Fitch and Margoliash, 1967)


Recalculate the distance matrix (as shown in UPGMA)
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Phylogenetic methods (Distance)
$>$ Estimation of branch lengths: more than 3 taxa


$$
\left\{\begin{array}{ll|l|l|l|}
\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathrm{AB}}=a+b \\
\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathrm{AX}}=\boldsymbol{a}+\boldsymbol{x} \\
\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathrm{BX}}=\boldsymbol{b}+\boldsymbol{x} & \boldsymbol{a}=\left(\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathrm{AB}}+\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathrm{AX}}-\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathrm{BX}}\right) / \mathbf{2} \\
\boldsymbol{b}=\left(\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathrm{AB}}+\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathrm{BX}}-\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathrm{AX}}\right) / 2 \\
\hline
\end{array} \begin{array}{|l|l|l|}
\hline \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{AB}} & \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{AX}} \\
\hline \mathrm{~B} & & \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{BX}} \\
\hline
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Phylogenetic methods (Distance)

$>$ Estimation of branch lengths: more than 3 taxa

$d_{\mathrm{YC}}=\left(d_{\mathrm{AC}}+d_{\mathrm{BC}}\right) / 2$
$d_{\mathrm{YD}}=\left(d_{\mathrm{AD}}+d_{\mathrm{BD}}\right) / 2$
$\rightarrow c$ and $d$ can be calculated as before

|  | C | D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{Y}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{YC}}$ | $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{YD}}$ |
| $\mathbf{C}$ |  | $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{CD}}$ | BIOS477/877 L22-12
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## Phylogenetic methods (Distance)

Estimation of branch lengths: more than 3 taxa
(Fitch and Margoliash, 1967)



- If no other unresolved taxon remains,
$\rightarrow z$ (internal branch length) can be calculated:
e.g., $z=d_{\mathrm{AC}}-a-\mathrm{c}$
- If there are still more taxa,
$\rightarrow$ choose two (e.g., closest) taxa and repeat the above procedure
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## Phylogenetic methods

$>$ Data types and tree-building methods
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## Phylogenetic methods (Distance)

> Minimum evolution (ME)

- The tree that minimizes the tree length is regarded as the best estimate of the phylogeny
$\rightarrow$ Tree length $=$ Sum of the branch lengths
$s=\sum_{i=1}^{2 n-3} e_{i}$
$n$ : number of taxa in the tree $e_{i}$ : length of the branch $i$ (There are $\mathbf{2 n - 3}$ branches in an unrooted tree of $n$ taxa)
- reconstructs additive distance trees
- reconstructs unrooted trees


Phylogenetic methods (Distance)

## Minimum evolution (ME)

- The tree that minimizes the tree length is regarded as the best estimate of the phylogeny $\rightarrow$ Tree length $=$ Sum of the branch lengths $s=\sum_{i=1}^{2 n} e_{i}$
$n$ : number of taxa in the tree, $e_{i}$. length of the branch $i$ (There are $2 n-3$ branches in an unrooted tree of $n$ taxa)


3 branches

5 branches


7 branches
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## Phylogenetic methods (Distance)

## Neighbor joining (NJ)

Saito and Nei (1987) and correction by Studier and Keppler (1988)

- Clustering method (fast computation)
- A good heuristic method for estimating the minimum evolution tree
$\rightarrow$ No guarantee to find the ME tree
$\rightarrow$ In practice, the NJ tree is often the same or very similar to the ME tree
- No assumption for the constant evolutionary rate $\rightarrow$ Evolutionary rate can vary among lineages
- reconstructs unrooted trees


## Phylogenetic methods (Distance)

## Neighbor joining (NJ)

3) Take 2 OTUs ( $a$ and $b$ ) as a pair (neighbors) and calculate the tree length $\left(S_{a b}\right)$ from this topology
4) Find the OTU pair that makes the shortest $S_{a b}$

Neighbors: OTU1 and OTU2 Put all other OTUs in one cluster


B105477/877 L22-21
21


Phylogenetic methods (Distance)

## Neighbor joining (NJ)

Example: a distance matrix for 5 OTUs

1) Start with a star phylogeny
2) $S_{0}$ : the sum of all branch lengths
$S_{\mathrm{o}}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} L_{i X}=\sum_{i<j}^{m} d_{i j} /(m-1)$

$L_{i x}$ : branch length between OTU $i$ and node $X$ $d_{i j}$ : distance between OTUs $i$ and $j$ $m$ : number of OTUs
$S_{0}=\left(d_{12}+d_{13}+d_{14}+d_{15}+d_{23}+d_{24}+d_{25}+d_{34}+d_{35}+d_{45}\right) / 4$
$=(.53+.99+.80+1.02+.93+.65+.82+.73+.81+.94) / 4$
$=2.055$


For 3 OTUs: $d_{12}+d_{13}+d_{23}=(a+b)+(a+c)+(b+c)=2(a+b+c)=2 S_{0}$ $S_{0}=($ Sum of 3 distances)/(3-1)

## Phylogenetic methods (Distance)

$>$ Neighbor joining (NJ)
3') Calculate the sum of all branch length $\left(S_{a b}\right)$ when OTUs $a$ and $b$ are neighbors.


22

## Phylogenetic methods (Distance) <br> Neighbor joining (NJ)

3') Calculate the sum of all branch length $\left(S_{a b}\right)$ for all OTU pairs
$S_{12}=L_{1 x}+L_{2 x}+L_{x r}+\sum_{i=3}^{m} L_{i r}$

$+\sum_{3=1}^{m} d_{i j} /(m-3)$
$=\sum_{i=3}^{m}\left(d_{1 i}+d_{2 i}\right) / 2(m-2)+d_{12} / 2+\sum_{3 s i<j}^{m} d_{i j} /(m-2)$
$S_{12}=\left(d_{13}+d_{14}+d_{15}+d_{23}+d_{24}+d_{25}\right) / 6+d_{12} / 2+\left(d_{34}+d_{35}+d_{45}\right) / 3$
$=(.99+1.02+.82+.80+.93+.73) / 6+.53 / 2+(.65+.81+.94) / 3$
$1.95<S_{0}=2.055$
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## Phylogenetic methods (Distance)

## $>$ Neighbor joining (NJ)

3) Sum of all branch lengths $\left(S_{a b}\right)$ is calculated for all pairs of OTUs
4) Find the shortest $S_{a b}$
5) Create a new node (A) that connects OTUs $a$ and $b$.
6) Branch lengths are calculated using Fitch-Margoliash method.

$S_{34}$ is the shortest:
$(a=3, b=4, m=5)$
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## Phylogenetic methods (Distance)

## Neighbor joining (NJ)

3-7) Repeat the steps:

- For each OTU pair (neighbors), calculate $S_{a b}$,
- Find the smallest $S_{a b}$, and
- Calculate the next distance matrix. ... (SS12 is the shortest: OXUs 1 and 2 are the next neigh

8) Continue until all OTUs are clustered. For the last 3 OTUs (see Lecture 21, slide 43) $L_{\mathrm{AZ}}=\left(d_{\mathrm{AS}}+d_{\mathrm{AB}}-d_{\mathrm{BE}}\right) / 2=0.193$ $L_{\mathrm{BZ}}=\left(d_{\mathrm{BA}}+d_{\mathrm{B} 5}-d_{\mathrm{A} 5}\right) / 2=0.153$ $L 5 \mathrm{Z}=\left(d_{5 \mathrm{~A}}+d_{5 \mathrm{~B}}-d_{\mathrm{AB}}\right) / 2=0.358$ (or $d_{5 A-L A Z}$ or $d_{5 B-L b z)}$
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## Phylogenetic methods (Distance)


$((A: 1, B: 4): 1, C: 2,((D: 3, E: 2): 1, F: 5): 1)$; ( ((c(A:2, C:2):1, B:3):0.75, ((D:2.5,E:2.5):1.25):0.65, F:4.4):

Assuming constant molecular clock
BIOS 477/877 L22-29

## Phylogenetic methods (Distance)

## Neighbor joining (NJ)

7) The new distance matrix is calculated using the combined OTU A (for $a$ and $b$ ).
Distance between the new OTU A and the remaining OTUs $k(1 \leq k \leq m$ where $k \neq a$ and $k \neq b)$ :
$d_{\mathrm{A} k}=\left(d_{a k}+d_{b k}-d_{a b}\right) / 2$
For $a=3, b=4$, and $m=5$,
 $k=1,2$, and 5
$d_{\mathrm{A} 1}=\left(d_{31}+d_{41}-d_{34}\right) / 2=(0.99+1.02-0.65) / 2=0.68$
$d_{\mathrm{A} 2}=\left(d_{32}+d_{42}-d_{34}\right) / 2=(0.80+0.93-0.65) / 2=0.54$
$d_{\mathrm{A} 5}=\left(d_{35}+d_{45}-d_{34}\right) / 2=(0.81+0.94-0.65) / 2=0.55$
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| Phylogenetic methods (Character-based) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Parsimony (MP) <br> - Chooses the tree(s) that require(s) the fewest evolutionary changes = the shortest tree(s) <br> - Data: individual sites <br> - For each site (column), reconstruct the evolution of that site based on a given tree topology and with fewest possible evolutionary changes <br> - Tree length = Sum of the minimum numbers of character changes over all sites |  |
| $L(\tau)=\sum_{i=1}^{N} l_{i}$ | $\tau$ : tree topology <br> $N:$ number of sites (characters) <br> $l_{i}$ : tree length for a single site $i$ <br> (amount of character change) |
|  |  |
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Phylogenetic methods (Character-based)
> Maximum Parsimony (MP)


Find the tree length from each possible tree topology
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## Phylogenetic methods (Character-based)

$>$ Homoplasy

- Sharing of identical character states that cannot be explained by inheritance from the common ancestor of a group of taxa
- Caused by
$\rightarrow$ parallel or back substitutions
- Homoplasy obscures the actual number of evolutionary events
- Fewer homoplasy is better


Back substitutions


BIOS477/877 L22-45

## Phylogenetic methods (Character-based)

## Maximum Parsimony (MP)

Not based on an explicit model of evolution
$\rightarrow$ What do we do if substitution patterns are biased? (e.g., saturation in transitional substitutions)

- Cost matrix (or weight matrix or step matrix)
$\rightarrow$ When counting the number of changes, use different weighting depending on the reliability of character change information
Transversion weighting
- Ts could be saturated and may not reflect the correct evolutionary relationships (less phylogenetic information) $\rightarrow$ down-weight Ts
- Phylogenetic information from Tv is more reliable $\rightarrow$ up-weight Tv (more information from Tv is used)

BIOS477/877 L22-46
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## Phylogenetic methods (Character-based)

Maximum Parsimony (MP)

- Cost matrix (or weight matrix or step matrix)


|  | $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | G |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{A}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{T}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| C | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| G | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

Identity substitution matrix used for alignment

To maximize the identity

## Phylogenetic methods (Character-based)

Maximum Parsimony (MP)

- Generalized parsimony (weighted parsimony)

$C_{x y}=0$ for $x=y$
$C_{x y}=1$ for transition
$C_{x y}=w$ for transversion
$C_{x y}$ : the cost associated with the
change from state $x$ to $y$

Transversions are weighted
more than transitions
$L=1+w$
BIOS477/877 L22-50

Phylogenetic methods (Character-based)
> Maximum Parsimony (MP)

- Generalized parsimony (weighted parsimony)
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Phylogenetic methods (Character-based)
Maximum Parsimony (MP): simple, not weighted
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